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#### Abstract

In this paper, we have described a numerical integration technique for solving singularly perturbed delay differential equations. The second order singularly perturbed boundary value problem is transformed into an asymptotically equivalent first order neutral differential equation. Then numerical integration and linear interpolation is used to get the tri-diagonal system. Discrete invariant imbedding algorithm is used to solve this tridiagonal system. The error analysis of the technique is discussed. To demonstrate the technique and affect of the delay argument in the layer, we have implemented the technique on several test examples.
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## 1 Introduction

Any ordinary differential equation, in which the highest derivative is multiplied by a small parameter and involving at least one delay term is called singularly perturbed delay differential equation. In recent years, there has been a growing interest in the numerical treatment of such differential equations. The boundary value problems of delay differential equations are ubiquitous in the variational problems in control theory [3]. Lange and Miura [7, 8] gave an asymptotic approach for a class of boundary-value problems for linear second-order differential-difference equations in which the highest order derivative is multiplied by small parameter and shows the effect of very small shifts on the solution and pointed out that they drastically affect the solution and therefore cannot be neglected. Kadalbajoo and Sharma [6] presented a numerical approach to solve singularly perturbed differential-difference equation, which contains only negative shift in the differentiated term. In this method authors present a numerical method composed of a standard upwind finite difference scheme on a special type of mesh shifts are either $O(\varepsilon)$ or $O(\varepsilon)$. Pratima Rai and Sharma [10] presented a numerical method for singularly perturbed delay differential equation with turning points. Reddy et. al. [11] presented a numerical integration of a class of singularly perturbed delay differential equations with small shift, where delay is in differentiated term.

In In this paper, we have described a numerical integration technique for solving singularly perturbed delay differential equations. The second order singularly perturbed boundary value problem is transformed into an asymptotically equivalent first order neutral differential equation. Then numerical integration and linear interpolation is used to get the tridiagonal system. Discrete invariant imbedding algorithm is used to solve this tri-diagonal system. The error analysis of the
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$y^{\prime}(x+\varepsilon)-y^{\prime}(x-\varepsilon)=p(x) y^{\prime}(x-\delta)+q(x) y(x)+r(x)$
where $p(x)=-2 a, q(x)=-2 b, r(x)=2 f(x)$
The transition from equation (1) to equation (4) is admitted, because of the condition that $\varepsilon$ is small. This replacement is significant from the computational point of view. Further details on the validity of this transition can be found $[5,9]$.

Now divide the interval $[0,1]$ into $N$ equal subintervals of mesh size $h=1 / N$ so that $x_{i}=i h, i=0,1,2, \ldots, N$.
Integrating eq. (4) with respect to $x$ from $x_{i}$ to $x_{i+1}$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{x_{i}}^{x_{i+1}} y^{\prime}(x+\varepsilon) d x-\int_{x_{i}}^{x_{i+1}} y^{\prime}(x-\varepsilon) d x= \\
& \int_{x_{i}}^{x_{i+1}}\left[p(x) y^{\prime}(x-\delta) d x+q(x) y(x)+r(x)\right] d x
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
y\left(x_{i+1}+\varepsilon\right)-y\left(x_{i}+\varepsilon\right)-y\left(x_{i+1}-\varepsilon\right)+y\left(x_{i}-\varepsilon\right)=
$$

$$
[p(x) y(x-\delta)]_{x_{i}}^{x_{i+1}}-\int_{x_{i}}^{x_{i+1}} p^{\prime}(x) y(x-\delta) d x
$$

$$
+\int_{x_{i}}^{x_{i+1}}[q(x) y(x)+r(x)] d x
$$

$$
2 \varepsilon y_{i+1}^{\prime}-2 \varepsilon y_{i}^{\prime}=p_{i+1} y\left(x_{i+1}-\delta\right)-p_{i} y\left(x_{i}-\delta\right)
$$

$$
-\int_{x_{i}}^{x_{i+1}} p^{\prime}(x) y(x-\delta) d x+\int_{x_{i}}^{x_{i+1}} q(x) y(x)+r(x) d x
$$

By using the Trapezoidal rule to evaluate the integral approximation, we get
$2 \varepsilon y_{i+1}^{\prime}-2 \varepsilon y_{i}^{\prime}=p_{i+1} y\left(x_{i+1}-\delta\right)-p_{i} y\left(x_{i}-\delta\right)-\frac{h}{2}\left(p_{i+1}^{\prime} y\left(x_{i+1}-\delta\right)\right)$
$-\frac{h}{2}\left(p_{i}^{\prime} y\left(x_{i}-\delta\right)\right)+\frac{h}{2}\left(q_{i+1} y_{i+1}\right)+\frac{h}{2}\left(q_{i} y_{i}\right)+\frac{h}{2} r_{i+1}+\frac{h}{2} r_{i}$
(5)

Again by means of Taylor series expansion and linear interpolation for $y^{\prime}(x)$, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& y\left(x_{i+1}-\delta\right) \approx y\left(x_{i+1}\right)-\delta y^{\prime}\left(x_{i+1}\right)=y_{i+1}-\delta\left(\frac{y_{i+1}-y_{i}}{h}\right) \\
& =\left(1-\frac{\delta}{h}\right) y_{i+1}+\frac{\delta}{h} y_{i}  \tag{6}\\
& \quad y\left(x_{i}-\delta\right) \approx y\left(x_{i}\right)-\delta y^{\prime}\left(x_{i}\right)=y_{i}-\delta\left(\frac{y_{i}-y_{i-1}}{h}\right)  \tag{7}\\
& \quad=\left(1-\frac{\delta}{h}\right) y_{i}+\frac{\delta}{h} y_{i-1}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting the equations (6) and (7) in Eq. (5), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2 \varepsilon\left(\frac{y_{i+1}-y_{i}}{h}\right)-2 \varepsilon\left(\frac{y_{i}-y_{i-1}}{h}\right) \\
& =\left[p_{i+1}\left(1-\frac{\delta}{h}\right)-\frac{h}{2} p_{i+1}^{\prime}\left(1-\frac{\delta}{h}\right)+\frac{h}{2} q_{i+1}\right] y_{i+1} \\
& \quad+\left[p_{i+1} \frac{\delta}{h}-p_{i}\left(1-\frac{\delta}{h}\right)-\frac{h}{2} p_{i+1}^{\prime}\left(\frac{\delta}{h}\right)-\frac{h}{2} p_{i}^{\prime}\left(1-\frac{\delta}{h}\right)+\frac{h}{2} q_{i}\right] y_{i} \\
& \quad+\left[-p_{i} \frac{\delta}{h}-\frac{h}{2} p_{i}^{\prime} \frac{\delta}{h}\right] y_{i-1}+\frac{h}{2} r_{i}+\frac{h}{2} r_{i+1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Rearrange the above equation into three recurrence relation, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[p_{i} \frac{\delta}{h}+\frac{\delta}{2} p_{i}^{\prime}+\frac{2 \varepsilon}{h}\right] y_{i-1}} \\
& -\left[\frac{4 \varepsilon}{h}+p_{i+1} \frac{\delta}{h}-p_{i}\left(1-\frac{\delta}{h}\right)-\frac{\delta}{2} p_{i+1}^{\prime}-\frac{h}{2} p_{i}^{\prime}\left(1-\frac{\delta}{h}\right)+\frac{h}{2} q_{i}\right] y_{i} \\
& +\left[\frac{2 \varepsilon}{h}-p_{i+1}\left(1-\frac{\delta}{h}\right)+\frac{h}{2} p_{i+1}^{\prime}\left(1-\frac{\delta}{h}\right)-\frac{h}{2} q_{i+1}\right] y_{i+1}=\frac{h}{2} r_{i}+\frac{h}{2} r_{i+1}
\end{aligned}
$$

The above equation can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{i} y_{i-1}-F_{i} y_{i}+G_{i} y_{i+1}=H_{i}, i=1,2,3 \ldots . . . n-1 \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{i}= & p_{i} \frac{\delta}{h}+\frac{\delta}{2} p_{i}^{\prime}+\frac{2 \varepsilon}{h} \\
F_{i} & =\frac{4 \varepsilon}{h}+p_{i+1} \frac{\delta}{h}-p_{i}\left(1-\frac{\delta}{h}\right)-\frac{\delta}{2} p_{i+1}^{\prime}-\frac{h}{2} p_{i}^{\prime}\left(1-\frac{\delta}{h}\right)+\frac{h}{2} q_{i} \\
G_{i} & =\frac{2 \varepsilon}{h}-p_{i+1}\left(1-\frac{\delta}{h}\right)+\frac{h}{2} p_{i+1}^{\prime}\left(1-\frac{\delta}{h}\right)-\frac{h}{2} q_{i+1} \\
H_{i} & =\frac{h}{2} r_{i+1}+\frac{h}{2} r_{i}
\end{aligned}
$$

We solve the tridiagonal system (5) using discrete invariant imbedding algorithm.

### 2.2. Right End boundary Layer

Now for the right - end boundary layer, integrating Eq. (4) with respect to $x$ from $x_{i-1}$ to $x_{i}$, we get

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\int_{x_{i-1}}^{x_{i}} y^{\prime}(x+\varepsilon) d x-\int_{x_{i-1}}^{x_{i}} y^{\prime}(x-\varepsilon) d x=\int_{x_{i}-1}^{x_{i}}\left[p(x) y^{\prime}(x-\delta) d x+q(x) y(x)+r(x)\right] d x \\
{\left[y\left(x_{i}+\varepsilon\right)-y\left(x_{i-1}+\varepsilon\right)\right]-\left[y\left(x_{i}-\varepsilon\right)+y\left(x_{i-1}-\varepsilon\right)\right]=[p(x) y(x-\delta)]_{x_{i-1}}^{x_{i}}} \\
-\int_{x_{i-1}}^{x_{i}} p^{\prime}(x) y(x-\delta) d x+\int_{x_{i-1}}^{x_{i}}[q(x) y(x)+r(x)] d x
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y_{i}+\varepsilon\left(\frac{y_{i+1}-y_{i}}{h}\right)-y_{i-1}-\frac{\varepsilon}{h}\left(y_{i}-y_{i-1}\right)-y_{i}+\varepsilon\left(\frac{y_{i+1}-y_{i}}{h}\right) \\
& +y_{i-1}-\frac{\varepsilon}{h}\left(y_{i}-y_{i-1}\right)=p_{i} y\left(x_{i}-\delta\right)-p_{i-1} y\left(x_{i-1}-\delta\right) \\
& -\int_{x_{i-1}}^{x_{i}} p^{\prime}(x) y(x-\delta) d x+\int_{x_{i-1}}^{x_{i}}[q(x) y(x)+r(x)] d x
\end{aligned}
$$

By Using the Trapizoidal rule to evaluate the integral approximation, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{2 \varepsilon}{h}\left(y_{i+1}-y_{i}\right)-\frac{2 \varepsilon}{h}\left(y_{i}-y_{i-1}\right)= p_{i} y\left(x_{i}-\delta\right)-p_{i-1} y\left(x_{i-1}-\delta\right) \\
&-\frac{h}{2}\left(p_{i}^{\prime} y\left(x_{i}-\delta\right)+p_{i-1}^{\prime} y\left(x_{i-1}-\delta\right)\right) \\
&+\frac{h}{2}\left(q_{i} y_{i}+q_{i-1} y_{i-1}\right)+\frac{h}{2}\left(r_{i}+r_{i-1}\right) \\
& \frac{2 \varepsilon}{h} y_{i+1}-\frac{4 \varepsilon}{h} y_{i}+\frac{2 \varepsilon}{h} y_{i-1}=p_{i} y\left(x_{i}-\delta\right)-p_{i-1} y\left(x_{i-1}-\delta\right)-\frac{h}{2} p_{i}^{\prime} y\left(x_{i}-\delta\right) \\
&-\frac{h}{2} p_{i-1}^{\prime} y\left(x_{i-1}-\delta\right)+\frac{h}{2}\left(q_{i} y_{i}\right)+\frac{h}{2}\left(q_{i-1} y_{i-1}\right)+\frac{h}{2}\left(r_{i}+r_{i-1}\right) \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

Again by means of Taylor series expansion and then corresponding $y^{\prime}(x)$ by linear interpolation, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
y\left(x_{i-1}-\delta\right) \approx y\left(x_{i-1}\right)-\delta y^{\prime}\left(x_{i-1}\right) & =y_{i-1}-\delta\left(\frac{y_{i}-y_{i-1}}{h}\right)  \tag{10}\\
& =\left(1+\frac{\delta}{h}\right) y_{i-1}-\frac{\delta}{h} y_{i} \\
y\left(x_{i}-\delta\right) \approx y\left(x_{i}\right)-\delta y^{\prime}\left(x_{i}\right) & =y_{i}-\delta\left(\frac{y_{i+1}-y_{i}}{h}\right) \\
& =\left(1+\frac{\delta}{h}\right) y_{i}-\frac{\delta}{h} y_{i+1} \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting the equations (10) and (11) in Eq.(9), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{2 \varepsilon}{h} y_{i+1}-\frac{4 \varepsilon}{h} y_{i}+\frac{2 \varepsilon}{h} y_{i-1}=p_{i}\left[\left(1+\frac{\delta}{h}\right) y_{i}-\frac{\delta}{h} y_{i+1}\right] \\
& -p_{i-1}\left[\left(1+\frac{\delta}{h}\right) y_{i-1}-\frac{\delta}{h} y_{i}\right]-\frac{h}{2}\left(p_{i}^{\prime}\left(1+\frac{\delta}{h}\right) y_{i}-\frac{\delta}{h} y_{i+1}\right) \\
& -\frac{h}{2} p_{i-1}^{\prime}\left[\left(1+\frac{\delta}{h}\right) y_{i-1}-\frac{\delta}{h} y_{i}\right]+\frac{h}{2}\left(q_{i} y_{i}\right)+\frac{h}{2}\left(q_{i-1} y_{i-1}\right) \\
& +\frac{h}{2} r_{i}+\frac{h}{2} r_{i-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Rearrange the above equation into three recurrence relation, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{i} y_{i-1}-F_{i} y_{i}+G_{i} y_{i+1}=H_{i}, \quad i=1,2,3 \ldots . . . n-1 \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $E_{i}=\frac{2 \varepsilon}{h}+p_{i-1}\left(1+\frac{\delta}{h}\right)+\frac{h}{2} p_{i-1}^{\prime}\left(1+\frac{\delta}{h}\right)-\frac{h}{2} q_{i-1}$

$$
\begin{gathered}
F_{i}=\frac{4 \varepsilon}{h}-\frac{h}{2} p_{i}^{\prime}\left(1+\frac{\delta}{h}\right)+p_{i}\left(1+\frac{\delta}{h}\right)+p_{i-1} \frac{\delta}{h}+\frac{\delta}{2} p_{i-1}^{\prime}+\frac{h}{2} q_{i} \\
G_{i}=\frac{2 \varepsilon}{h}+p_{i} \frac{\delta}{h}-\frac{\delta}{2} P_{i}^{\prime} \\
H_{i}=\frac{h}{2} r_{i}+\frac{h}{2} r_{i-1}
\end{gathered}
$$

## 3. NUMERICAL EXAMPLES

To describe the method we consider six test examples with left and right end boundary layers.

Example 1. $\varepsilon y^{\prime \prime}(x)+y^{\prime}(x-\delta)-y(x)=0 ; \quad \mathrm{x} \in[0,1]$ under the interval with boundary conditions $\mathrm{y}(\mathrm{x})=1,-\delta \leq x \leq 0, \mathrm{y}(1)=1$ The exact solution is given by
$y(x)=\frac{\left(\left(1-e^{\mathrm{m}_{2}}\right) e^{m_{1} x}+\left(e^{m_{1}}-1\right) e^{m_{2} x}\right)}{\left(e^{m_{1}}-e^{m_{2}}\right)}$
where $m_{1}=(-1-\sqrt{1+4(\varepsilon-\delta)}) / 2(\varepsilon-\delta) \quad$ and
$m_{2}=(-1+\sqrt{1+4(\varepsilon-\delta)}) / 2(\varepsilon-\delta)$.
Example 2. $\varepsilon y^{\prime \prime}(x)+0.25 y^{\prime}(x-\delta)-y(x)=0$ under the interval with boundary conditions $y(x)=1,-\delta \leq x \leq 0, \mathrm{y}(1)=0$

Example 3. $\varepsilon y^{\prime \prime}(x)-y^{\prime}(x-\delta)-y(x)=0$; under the interval with boundary conditions $\mathrm{y}(\mathrm{x})=1,-\delta \leq x \leq 0, \mathrm{y}(1)=-1$
The exact solution is given by
$y(x)=\frac{\left(\left(1+e^{m_{2}}\right) e^{m_{1} x}-\left(e^{m_{1}}+1\right) e^{m_{2} x}\right)}{\left(e^{m_{2}}-e^{m_{1}}\right)}$
where $m_{1}=(1-\sqrt{1+4(\varepsilon+\delta)}) / 2(\varepsilon+\delta)$ and
$m_{2}=(1+\sqrt{1+4(\varepsilon+\delta)}) / 2(\varepsilon+\delta)$.
Example 4. $\varepsilon y^{\prime \prime}(x)-y^{\prime}(x-\delta)+y(x)=0$ under the interval with boundary conditions $\mathrm{y}(\mathrm{x})=1,-\delta \leq x \leq 0, \quad \mathrm{y}(1)=-1$

## 4. DISCUSSIONS AND CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, we have described a numerical integration technique for solving singularly perturbed delay differential equations. The second order singularly perturbed boundary value problem is transformed into an asymptotically equivalent first order neutral differential equation. Then numerical integration and linear interpolation is used to get the tridiagonal system. Discrete invariant imbedding algorithm is used to solve this tri-diagonal system. The error analysis of the
technique is discussed. To demonstrate the technique and affect of the delay argument in the layer, we have implemented the technique on several test examples and we have shown the layer behaviour through graphs.

From the numerical examples presented here, we observed that as $\delta$ increases, the thickness of the left end boundary layer decreases and as $\delta$ increases, the thickness of right end boundary layer increases. As the grid size $h$ decreases, the maximum error decreases, which shows the convergence to the computed solution.

TABLE 1. The maximum absolute errors for $\delta=0.03$

| $\varepsilon / N$ | 100 | 200 | 300 | 400 | 500 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Example 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $2^{-1}$ | $1.5805 \mathrm{e}-003$ | $7.9569 \mathrm{e}-004$ | $5.3170 \mathrm{e}-004$ | $3.9924 \mathrm{e}-004$ | $3.1962 \mathrm{e}-004$ |
| $2^{-2}$ | $4.1130 \mathrm{e}-003$ | $2.0791 \mathrm{e}-003$ | $1.3911 \mathrm{e}-003$ | $1.0452 \mathrm{e}-003$ | $8.3706 \mathrm{e}-004$ |
| $2^{-3}$ | $9.1564 \mathrm{e}-003$ | $4.6690 \mathrm{e}-003$ | $3.1325 \mathrm{e}-003$ | $2.3570 \mathrm{e}-003$ | $1.8894 \mathrm{e}-003$ |
| $2^{-4}$ | $1.9899 \mathrm{e}-002$ | $1.0335 \mathrm{e}-002$ | $6.9813 \mathrm{e}-003$ | $5.2710 \mathrm{e}-003$ | $4.2338 \mathrm{e}-003$ |
| $2^{-5}$ | $4.5123 \mathrm{e}-002$ | $2.4551 \mathrm{e}-002$ | $1.6873 \mathrm{e}-002$ | $1.2868 \mathrm{e}-002$ | $1.0397 \mathrm{e}-002$ |

Example 2

$$
\begin{array}{llllll}
2^{-1} & 6.5308 \mathrm{e}-004 & 3.2800 \mathrm{e}-004 & 2.1900 \mathrm{e}-004 & 1.6437 \mathrm{e}-004 & 1.3156 \mathrm{e}-004 \\
2^{-2} 1.2766 \mathrm{e}-003 & 6.4293 \mathrm{e}-004 & 4.2966 \mathrm{e}-004 & 3.2264 \mathrm{e}-004 & 2.5830 \mathrm{e}-004 \\
2^{-3} & 2.3695 \mathrm{e}-003 & 1.1981 \mathrm{e}-003 & 8.0175 \mathrm{e}-004 & 6.0245 \mathrm{e}-004 & 4.8251 \mathrm{e}-004 \\
2^{-4} & 4.2895 \mathrm{e}-003 & 2.1844 \mathrm{e}-003 & 1.4653 \mathrm{e}-003 & 1.1024 \mathrm{e}-003 & 8.8353 \mathrm{e}-004 \\
2^{-5} & 8.0121 \mathrm{e}-003 & 4.1358 \mathrm{e}-003 & 2.7884 \mathrm{e}-003 & 2.1028 \mathrm{e}-003 & 1.6880 \mathrm{e}-003
\end{array}
$$

Example 3

| $2^{-1}$ | $3.7887 \mathrm{e}-003$ | $1.9299 \mathrm{e}-003$ | $1.2948 \mathrm{e}-003$ | $9.7419 \mathrm{e}-004$ | $7.8082 \mathrm{e}-004$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $2^{-2}$ | $1.5347 \mathrm{e}-002$ | $7.9592 \mathrm{e}-003$ | $5.3719 \mathrm{e}-003$ | $4.0537 \mathrm{e}-003$ | $3.2550 \mathrm{e}-003$ |
| $2^{-3}$ | $3.4927 \mathrm{e}-002$ | $1.8498 \mathrm{e}-002$ | $1.2574 \mathrm{e}-002$ | $9.5224 \mathrm{e}-003$ | $7.6640 \mathrm{e}-003$ |
| $2^{-4}$ | $7.5272 \mathrm{e}-002$ | $4.3189 \mathrm{e}-002$ | $3.0328 \mathrm{e}-002$ | $2.3451 \mathrm{e}-002$ | $1.9078 \mathrm{e}-002$ |
| $2^{-5}$ | $8.0792 \mathrm{e}-002$ | $1.2306 \mathrm{e}-001$ | $1.4428 \mathrm{e}-001$ | $1.5343 \mathrm{e}-001$ | $1.5555 \mathrm{e}-001$ |

## Example 4

| $2^{-1}$ | $4.7433 \mathrm{e}-003$ | $2.3865 \mathrm{e}-003$ | $1.5943 \mathrm{e}-003$ | $1.1969 \mathrm{e}-003$ | $9.5814 \mathrm{e}-004$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $2^{-2}$ | $1.0028 \mathrm{e}-002$ | $5.0628 \mathrm{e}-003$ | $6.4266 \mathrm{e}-003$ | $4.8333 \mathrm{e}-003$ | $2.0371 \mathrm{e}-003$ |
| $2^{-3}$ | $1.8863 \mathrm{e}-002$ | $9.5867 \mathrm{e}-003$ | $6.2857 \mathrm{e}-003$ | $4.7533 \mathrm{e}-003$ | $3.8732 \mathrm{e}-003$ |
| $2^{-4}$ | $3.3542 \mathrm{e}-002$ | $1.7246 \mathrm{e}-002$ | $1.1608 \mathrm{e}-002$ | $8.7488 \mathrm{e}-003$ | $7.0204 \mathrm{e}-003$ |
| $2^{-5}$ | $5.6064 \mathrm{e}-002$ | $2.9375 \mathrm{e}-002$ | $1.9905 \mathrm{e}-002$ | $1.5053 \mathrm{e}-002$ | $1.2103 \mathrm{e}-002$ |



Fig.1.The numerical solution of example 1 with $\varepsilon=0.1$


Fig.2.The numerical solution of example 2 with $\varepsilon=0.01$
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